Short-distance pronominals*

Gísli Rúnar Harðarson University of Iceland

Abstract

In both Icelandic and Faroese there are instances of pronominals taking local subject antecedents, usually when the pronominal is within a PP. This paper discusses a study on pronominals' ability to take a local subject antecedent. The data for this study was collected in the Faroe Islands and Iceland in October and December 2009. The paper is intended to provide information concerning the possible factors facilitating pronominals' ability to take a local subject antecedent. The focus of this study is on the effects of transitive verbs, typology of PPs and phonological heaviness of PPs on the acceptability of locally-bound pronominals in Icelandic and Faroese.

1. Introduction

Icelandic pronominals seem for the most part to adhere to the standard binding principle B (Chomsky, 1981, 1986), that is they must be free (unbound) within their binding category.

- (1) a. *Jón_i rakaði hann_i *Jón shaved him*'Jón shaved him'
 - Jón_i rakaði hann_k
 Jón shaved him
 'Jón shaved him'
 - c. Jón_i hélt [að Ragnar myndi raka hann_i] *Jón thought that Ragnar would shave him*'Jón thought Ragnar would shave him'

In (1a) the subject $J\acute{o}n$ binds the pronominal hann within a simple sentence thus violating principle B and making the sentence ungrammatical. In (1b) the pronominal is not coindexed with the subject and is therefore free. In (1c) the

^{*} I would like to thank Jóhannes Gísli Jónsson, Tania Strahan and Einar Freyr Sigurðsson for their advice and help on constructing the questionnaires; Tania, again for her comments on an earlier draft of this article; Höskuldur Þráinsson for the opportunity to travel to the Faroe Islands; Mona Breckmann, Steintóra Gleðisheygg Joensen and others at the University of the Faroe Islands for their assistance in creating the Faroese questionnaire; Victoria Absalonsen for all her help in conducting the Faroese part of this study; the audience at the NORMS workshop Relating to Reflexives and the audience at the 5th Grand Meeting for the Network for Scandinavian Dialect Syntax in Älvdalen for their comments regarding the presentations on the results of the pilot study on which this study is based; and finally the Icelandic Center for Research (Rannís) for their funding.

pronominal, within the embedded clause, refers to the matrix subject. Since the pronominal is bound by an element from outside the embedded clause it is free within its binding category and principle B is thus not violated. There are however some exceptions.

The Anti-Subject orientation of Icelandic and Faroese pronominals has been well documented and discussed in the past (Anderson, 1986, Barnes, 1986, Maling, 1986, Sigurjónsdóttir, 1992, Þráinsson, 1991, Þráinsson et al., 2004). In both languages it is possible for a pronominal to refer to a local object, like the following examples (the sentences in (2) are taken from Maling (1986) and the examples in (3) are taken from Þráinsson et al. (2004)).

- (2) a. Ég tók kanínuna_i úr búrinu hennar_i *I took rabbit.DEF out cage.DEF her-GEN*'I took the rabbit out of its cage'
 - b. Ég lagði drenginn_i við hlið systur hans_i *I laid boy.DEF by side sister his*'I laid the boy next to his sister'
 - c. Ég sýndi litlu stúlkunni hana í speglinum *I showed little girl.DEF her.ACC in mirror.DEF* 'I showed the little girl her in the mirror'
- (3) a. Vit hittu Jógvan_i heima hjá honum_i we met Jógvan home with him 'We met Jógvan at his place'
 - b. Eg rætti Kjartani_i klæðini hjá honum_i *I handed Kjartan-DAT clothes.DEF with him* 'I handed Kjartan his clothes'

In each of the sentences in (2-3) the pronominal is either within a PP, as in (2a-b) and (3a); the direct object in a double-object construction, (2c); or within a PP within a direct object within a double-object construction. The pronominals in (2a-b) are genitive possessors which, as will be discussed later, is usually the case when principle B violations in Icelandic and Faroese are concerned.

There are also published examples of pronominals referring to a local subject antecedent. These have however not been discussed as much as those in (2-3). Following are examples of such sentences ((4a) is from Jóhannes Gísli Jónsson p.c., (4b-c) were found in the Árni Magnússon Institute corpus, while (5a) is taken from Barnes (1986) and (5b) from Þráinsson (2001)):

- (4) a. Forsetahjónunum_i var vel fagnað í fyrstu opinberu presidential.couple.DEF was well cheered in first official heimsókn þeirra_i til Noregs visit their.GEN to Norway

 'The Presidential couple was well received on their first official visit to Norway'
 - b. [Stella vinkona]_i kom ásamt foreldrum hennar_i og það var... Stella friend came with parents her.GEN and it was 'My friend Stella came with her parents and it was...'
 - c. Jennifer Lopez_i giftist söngvaranum Mark Anthony í

 Jennifer Lopez married singer.DEF Mark Anthony in
 látlausri athöfn á heimili hennar_i í Beverly Hills í
 low-key ceremony on home her-GEN in Beverly Hills in
 fyrradag
 day-before-yesterday

 'Jennifer Lopez married singer Mark Anthony in a low-key
 ceremony at her home in Beverly Hills the day before yesterday.'
- (5) a. Martin_i sá Jógvan við nýggju súkklu hansara_i *Martin saw Jógvan with new bicycle his-GEN*'Martin saw Jógvan with his new bicycle'
 - b. tey ... síggja á fyrsta sinni teirra kæra Glyvurnes fara framvið they see on first time their dear Glyvurnes go by 'They ... see, for the first time, their beloved Gyvurnes pass by'

As one can see, in all the examples above, the pronominal is a genitive possessor within a PP. However, locally subject-bound pronominals seem to be possible in double object constructions as well, like the following Icelandic example (Einar Freyr Sigurðsson p.c.):

(6) [Þessi glaðningur]_i veitir eiganda hans_i gráðostaborgara, this prize gives owner his-GEN blue-cheese-burger franskar, kokteilsósu og gos fyrir aðeins 900 kr. french fries cocktail-sauce and soda for only 900 kronur 'This prize entitles its receiver to a blue cheese burger, French fries, cocktail sauce and a soda for only 900 kronur.'

Based on the sentences in (4-5), surveys were prepared and conducted in the Faroe Islands and in Iceland looking into various factors possibly at work in these constructions which would facilitate these alleged principle B violations. No examples of principle B violations in double-object constructions, such as (6), had been found at the time of the surveys and therefore the double-object construction was not included in the study.

This paper is constructed as follows. In the following section the surveys will be discussed. First the motivation behind the study and the methodology will be described, as well as the structure of the survey. In the third section the results of the study will be discussed.

2. Methodology

This section will be devoted to a presentation of the motivation behind the study and the methodology. The methodology will be introduced and the structure of the questionnaires as well as some problems encountered during the process will be briefly discussed. Then the two surveys will be discussed: the first conducted in the Faroe Islands in October 2009 and the other in Iceland in December 2009.

This study came about as a follow up of a pilot study done in Iceland the year before (the results of which are included in appendix I). Their purpose was to shed light on the possible factors allowing pronominals to take a local subject antecedent.

2.1 Motivation

First, drawing on Hestvik (1991), the study was intended to show whether the typology of the PPs in question was a factor in allowing pronominals to have local subject antecedents. Hestvik proposed that certain PPs, i.e. those that assign a thematic role to their object independently of the thematic role assigned by the verb, could function as subjectless predicates, thus forming a binding domain for pronominals. Zwart (2006) suggested that PPs (and double-object constructions) were a kind of transparent small clause, allowing a certain optionality between pronominals and reflexives. Others have proposed that directional and locative PPs have a functional clause-like structure dominating the PP (e.g. Biskup, 2009, Dikken, 2006, Koopman, 2000, Tungseth, 2005). With this in mind, the surveys were intended to show whether PPs differ in their ability to form a binding domain depending on their type. Five types of PPs were tested: directional, locative, causative, instrumental and comitative.

The pilot study showed a difference in acceptability depending on whether the verb was transitive or intransitive. Sentences where the verb was transitive usually received a higher acceptance rate that those with an intransitive verb. Therefore, in this study, this factor was actively tested.

Looking at sentences such as (4a) and (4c), it can be seen that the PPs are quite 'heavy'. Phonological weight has been shown to affect Principle B (see e.g. Hicks, 2008 and references cited there, Pesetsky, 1995:256) and therefore the study tested the effects of phonological heaviness.

2.2 Faroese

The Faroese part of this study was conducted in October 2009, during a research trip under the auspices of the research project *Variation in Faroese Syntax*, lead by Höskuldur Þráinsson. The questionnaire was administered in three places:

Klaksvík, Fuglafjørður and Tórshavn, with a total of 20 speakers interviewed. The questionnaire consisted of 45 sentences and whereof 21 concerned the subject of this study and 24 were fillers. Three sentences were meant to test the eligibility of the participants. These sentences were supposed to be considered ungrammatical for most if not all speakers. Speakers who judged two or more of these sentences grammatical were excluded from the calculations. 15 participants were deemed eligible.

The test sentences were translated into Faroese with the assistance of two Faroese helpers, Mona Breckmann and Steintóra Gleðisheygg Joensen. The fillers were either contributed by Tania Strahan or taken from Þráinsson et al. (2004). The sentences were accompanied by an introductory sentence where necessary to establish context. A test sentence would then be presented in the questionnaire in the following way:

- (7) a. Maðurin toldi ikki tá ið tíkin svav uppi á borðinum. man.DEF tolerated not then when bitch slept up on table.DEF 'The man couldn't bear it when the dog slept onto the table.'
 - b. Maðurin skumpaði tíkina av borði hansara man.DEF pushed bitch.DEF off table his
 'The man pushed the dog off his table.' [hansara = maðurin]

The introductory sentence was italicized, and followed by the test sentence and, where applicable, the intended reference of the pronominal was given in square brackets beneath. The participant was then presented with three choices *yes* ('natural sentence for me'), ? ('dubious sentence for me') and *no* ('unnatural sentence for me'). The questionnaires used in this study can be seen in appendices II and III.

To ensure participants judged the intended reading with an unmarked stress pattern, the test sentences were recorded, read by a native speaker, Steintóra Gleðisheygg Joensen. The participants were presented with the written questionnaire and listened to the sentences through headphones. The participant could start, stop and skip as they pleased and thus go through the questionnaire at their own pace.

A typographical error on the questionnaire was not discovered until after it had been administered to the participants at the first location (in Klaksvík). In the results below for the sentence in question, the participants from Klaksvík have been excluded.

At the end of the fieldwork trip each of the traveling linguists presented their preliminary results, respectively, to the faculty and students at the University of the Faroe Islands. When the results of this survey were presented, the Faroese members of the audience disagreed regarding the order of the genitive possessor and the possessed DP. In the test sentences the order is consistently *bók hansara* (book his), which is consistent with the intuition of the assistants. Their intuitions, in turn, are consistent with Práinsson et al.

(2004:118) which states that the order *bók hansara* (book his) seems to be closer to being unmarked and that the order *hansara bók* (his book) is usually contrastive, just as in Icelandic. At the presentation, Victoria Absalonsen pointed out that in some of the sentences the order *bók hansara* would be more appropriate in written language and the order *hansara bók* would be more common in spoken language, whereas in other sentences the order *bók hansara* would be more natural for spoken language. This may have reduced the acceptability of many of the sentences, and so, the acceptability of this may be higher than reported here.

2.2 Iceland

The Icelandic part of this study was conducted in Reykjavík in December 2009. The participants came from various parts of the country but all of them had been living in Reykjavík for at least a year. The questionnaire consisted of 60 sentences, whereof 28 related to the issues at hand. Five sentences were deliberately meant to be judged ungrammatical and participants were deemed ineligible if they judged less than three of them ungrammatical. 14 of 15 participants were deemed eligible.

The questionnaire was constructed in the same manner as the one used in the Faroe Islands. The test sentences were preceded by an introductory sentence and followed, when needed, by the intended interpretation in square brackets. (Note that *Gunna* is a female's name and Tumi is a male's name.)

- (8) a. Gunna fékk nóg af því að Tumi var alltaf að taka stólinn hennar. Gunna got enough of it that Tumi was always to take chair. DEF hers 'Gunna had had enough of Tumi always taking her chair.'
 - Gunna hrinti Tuma úr stólnum hennar
 Gunna pushed Tumi out.of chair.DEF hers
 'Gunna turfed Tuma out of her chair.' [hennar = Gunna]

The Icelandic test sentences were recorded and the participants listened to the sentences as they read the written questionnaire.

The construction of the Icelandic questionnaire was somewhat more organized than the Faroese one. In every sentence with a phonologically light PP, there were 6-9 syllables between the antecedent and the pronominal. These sentences tested the effect of PP type, were presented in groups of three and all contained a transitive yerb.

The sentences that were intended to test the effect of the phonological weight of the PPs were in two groups of three. One group contained sentences with an intransitive verb and the other transitive verbs. The antecedent and the pronominal were one hand separated by 12 syllables and on the other 14. The sentences in each group differed in the number of syllables contained in the PP. The PPs in both of these groups were either directional or locative.

These sentences are presented in the next section, along with the results.

3. Results

This section will list the results of the Faroese and Icelandic studies respectively.

3.1 The Faroe Islands

As previously mentioned, sentences in the pilot study received different acceptance rates depending on whether the verb was transitive or not. Therefore this study actively tested whether transitivity was a factor. (9) has a ditransitive verb, (10) an intransitive verb with a PP adjunct. (Percentages are rounded to the nearest whole number, thus the columns do not always add up to 100%.)

	1. Sentences with transitive verbs	Yes	?	No
9 [F37]	Kvinnan koyrdi mannin [úr song hennara] woman.DEF drove man.DEF out bed her 'The woman drove the man out of her bed'	33%	20%	47%
10 [F42]	Maðurin skumpaði tíkina [av borði hansara] man.DEF shoveled female.dog.DEF off table his 'The man shoved the bitch off his table'	33%	27%	40%
	2. Sentences with intransitive verbs	Yes	?	No
11 [F1]	2. Sentences with intransitive verbs Fuglurinn fleyg [úr reyðri hansara] bird.DEF flew out nest his 'The bird flew out of its nest'		? 21%	

The difference in acceptance rates for tables 1 and 2, as is shown above, is negligible and indicates that transitivity is not a factor in whether a pronominal can refer to a local subject antecedent, or, at least not as far as Faroese is concerned.

The sentences meant to test whether the type of PP was a factor in a pronominal's ability to take a local subject antecedent are given next. The sentences with locative PPs, shown in table 3, received the highest acceptance rate of all the types.

3. Locative	PPs	Yes	?	No
13 Sjúrður át [á skrivstovu [F44] <i>Sjúrður ate on office</i> 'Sjúrður ate in his office'	hansara] his	53%	20%	27%

	3. Locative PPs			Yes	?	No			
14	Turið	át	tvíflís	[í	bilið	hennara]	47%	20%	33%
[F23]	Turið	ate	sandwit	ch in	car.Di	EF her			
	'Turið	ate	a sandw	itch i	n her ca	ır'			

Locative PPs received a substantially higher acceptance rate than other deictic PPs, i.e. temporal and directional PPs, which were rejected by most speakers, as shown in tables 4 and 5.

4. Directional PPs	Yes	?	No
15 Jógvan rópti [á kvinnu hansara] [F11] Jógvan shouted on woman his 'Jógvan shouted at his wife'	13%	27%	60%
16 Malan leiddi Sjúrð [til bil hennara] [F21] <i>Malan lead Sjúrður to car her</i> 'Malan lead Sjúrður to her car'	50%	12%	38%
5. Temporal PPs	Yes	?	No
5. Temporal PPs 17 Malan kom heim [aftaná maður hennara] [F20] Malan came home behind.on man her 'Malan came home after her husband'			

As is shown in tables 4 and 5 the temporal and directional PPs received a much lower acceptance rate than the locative PPs. (16) received a higher acceptance rate than the others. However it is not clear why this is so. (18) received higher proportion of *questionable* judgements than all others. 53% of participants judged the sentence to be *questionable*, as opposed to 7-27% for the others. A possible explanation for this outcome might be that the verb in (18) is transitive. The results of the pilot study suggested that transitive verbs facilitate principle B violations. This could possibly explain the lower rejection rate of (18) as compared with (17). This could also explain the higher acceptance rate of (16) compared to (15). The question remains, however, why such a contrast does not appear in table 3. Also, as previously mentioned, the difference in acceptance rates between tables 1 and 2 is minimal, which suggests that, if transitivity is a factor in facilitating principle B violations, there may be other factors at work that can either counteract or assist in violating principle B.

Instrumental PPs received similar levels of rejection as the temporal and directional PPs above.

6. Instrumental PPs	Yes	?	No
19 Maðurin ferðast [á hestið hansara] [F17] <i>man.DEF travels on horse.DEF his</i> 'The man travels on his horse'	13%	27%	60%
20 Kvinnan skar breyðið [F10] woman.DEF cut bread.DEF [við knívið hennara] with knife.DEF her 'The woman cut the bread with her knife'	7%	47%	47%

These sentences also received a high rate of *questionable* judgements. 27% of participants judged (19) questionable and 47% judged (20) as questionable. In the pilot study the instrumental PPs were also almost unanimously rejected.

The causative PP received a somewhat different rate of acceptance to those in the pilot study¹:

	7. Causauve FFS	res	•	110
21	Gentan vann [vegna gløggsemi hennara] 40%	20%	40%
[F25]	girl.DEF won because.of cleverness her	•		
-	'The girl won because of her cleverness'			

7 Canastive DDs

In the pilot study the causative PPs received a higher rate of *questionable* judgements than the other types of PPs tested which is also the case here. The causative PP in this study received the highest rate of acceptance after locative PPs, which is in line with the results of the pilot study.

The final type of PP to be tested were comitative PPs. These sentences received a rate higher of acceptance than the comitative PPs in the pilot study.

8. Comitative PPs	Yes	?	No
Zakaris kom [við abba hansara] <i>Zakaris came with grandfather his</i> 'Zakaris came with his grandfather	33%	13%	53%
Gentan át fisk [við pápa hennara] girl.DEF ate fish with father her 'The girl ate fish with her father'	20%	20%	60%

The data in table 8 indicates that comitative PPs are accepted as frequently as locative and causative PPs. In the pilot study, however, the comitative PPs were

_

¹ An error in the questionnaire went unnoticed and resulted in there being a third intrumental sentence instead of the second causative.

almost unanimously rejected by the participants². This might indicate that, while different types of PPs differ in their ability to facilitate principle B violations within a single language, the same types may also differ in this respect between languages.

To sum up these results, the type of PP seems to be a factor in the PP's ability to form a binding domain for pronominals. Although the numbers here point to a rather low level of acceptance of pronominals having local subject antecedents in Faroese, there may be other factors involved which led to the reduced acceptance rates seen here. There are, as previously mentioned, variations in word order that may have come into play, namely the order of the genitive possessor and the possessed NP. Therefore the acceptance rate might have been higher if said variations had been accommodated in the questionnaire. Although they are broadly inconclusive, these numbers are suggestive of the fact that different types of PPs are differently suited to form binding domains for pronominals. Locative, causative and comitative PPs seem best able to form binding domains for pronominals. Directional, temporal and instrumental PPs are less able to do so.

Finally, the effect of the phonological weight of the PP was tested. The PPs in these sentences contained different numbers of words, 3, 4, 6, 7 and 8 words, where the pronominal is PP-final in (24-26) but PP-medial in (27-28).

	9. Weight of PPs	Yes	?	No
24 [F27]	Niklas hoppaði [á nýggju trampolin hansara] Niklas jumped on new trampoline his 'Niklas jumped on his new trampoline'	27%	27%	47%
25 [F6]	Gentan fór [frá øllum lodnum kettum hennara] girl.DEF went from all hairy cats her 'The girl left all her hairy cats behind'	13%	13%	67%
26 [F13]	Sjúrður fekk hol á nógvar Sjúrður got hole on many [av gomlu skjúrtum hansara] of old shirts his 'Sjúrður got a hole in many of his old shirts'	33%	7%	60%

² There was however a difference between various comitative prepositions in the Icelandic pilot study. The preposition *með* 'with' was unanimously rejected but the preposition *ásamt* 'along with' was accepted by half the participants. As of yet it is uncertain why this should be. A possible explanation pointed out by Jóhannes Gísli Jónsson (p.c.) is that *ásamt* might not necessarily have a comitative reading. If so it would not be surprising that *ásamt* receives a different level of acceptance from *með* which, when it governs dative, always has a comitative reading.

9. Weight of PPs

Yes? No

Gamla kvinnan hevur sæð mang [á øllum 67% 20% 13% [F40] old woman.DEF has seen lot on all ferðum hennara runt um heimin]

travels her around about world.DEF

'The old woman has seen a lot during her travels around the world'

28 **Maðurin** keddi seg [í teimum flestu longu 40% 33% 27%

[F29] man.DEF bored self in them most long arbeiðsferðum hansara til keðiligu work.trips his to boring Keypmannahavnar]
Copenhagen
'The man was bored on most of his long, boring business trips to Copenhagen'

The phonological heaviness of a PP does seem to facilitate principle B violations, but the weight of the PPs in question is not the sole factor in the acceptability of a pronominal taking a local subject antecedent, as can be seen by the lower rate of acceptance of (28) compared to (27). The PP in (28) is the heaviest of the PPs but it does not receive as high an acceptance as (27) which has a lighter PP. It is likely that there are factors other than weight at work resulting in the lower acceptance of (28), such as the order of elements within the DP. Further work would be needed to establish the causes behind the differing levels of acceptability here.

3.2 Icelandic

As in the Faroe Islands, the Icelandic part of the study looked into the effects that transitive (29) and intransitive verbs (30) have on the ability of pronominals to take a subject antecedent. All of the PPs tested were either directional or locative.

10. Transitive verbs Yes? No

- 29 **Gunna** hrinti Tuma [úr stólnum **hennar**] 43% 29% 29%
- [R7] Gunna pushed Tumi out chair.DEF her 'Gunna pushed Tumi out of her chair'
- 30 **Ágúst** fann blað [undir rúminu **hans**] 7% 14% 79%
- [R18] Ágúst found paper under bed.DEF his 'Ágúst found a magazine under his bed'

11. Intransitive verbs	Yes	?	No
31 Steina borðaði [í bílnum hennar] [R36] <i>Steina ate in car.DEF her</i> 'Steina ate in her car'	7%	0%	93%
32 Halli sofnaði [í stólnum hans] [R55] <i>Halli fell.sleep in chair.DEF his</i> 'Halli fell asleep in his chair'	14%	0%	86%

It is interesting that (29) was accepted so frequently compared to the other test sentences. One might assume that the spike in acceptance was due to the directional PP in (29). That however does not seem to be the case, as can be seen from the results reported below. It is more likely that the participants may have been more inclined to accept the sentence because they had been primed to do so from having judged the same sentence with a reflexive pronoun, which may refer to either *Gunna* or *Tumi*. (29) aside, possessive pronominals were overwhelmingly rejected with a local subject antecedent in Icelandic with intransitive verbs, while responses varied with transitive verbs.

When it came to testing different types of PPs, a pattern emerged that was very different from the one observed in the pilot study. The pilot study indicated that causative PPs were best suited to form binding domains, temporal, directional and locative PPs followed. Other PPs could not form binding domains. In this study, unlike the pilot study, directional PPs, other than (29) (repeated below as (33)), were more or less rejected:

	12. Directional PPs	Yes	?	No
33 [R7]	Gunna hrinti Tuma [úr stólnum hennar] Gunna pushed Tumi out chair.DEF her 'Gunna pushed Tumi out of her chair'	43 %	29%	29 %
34 [R38]	Dóra henti steinum [í bílinn hennar] <i>Dóra threw rocks in car.DEF her</i> 'Dóra threw rocks at her car'	0%	0%	10 0%
35 [R51]	Maðurinn setti veskið [í töskuna hans] man.DEF put wallet.DEF in bag.DEF his 'The man put the wallet into his bag'	7%	0%	93 %

The judgements in the case of (34-35) were quite black and white, that is, no one judged these sentences as questionable.

The judgements of the locative sentences were more even as is shown below.

	13. Locative PPs	Yes	?	No
	Ágúst fann blað [undir rúminu hans] Ágúst found paper under bed.DEF his 'Ágúst found a magazine under his bed'	7%	14%	79%
37 [R10]	Strákurinn fann mús [í skúffuni hans] boy.DEF found mouse in drawer.DEF his 'The boy found a mouse in his drawer'	14%	7%	79%
	Katrín sá ketti [í garðinum hennar] <i>Katrín saw cats in garden.DEF</i> Katrín saw cats in her garden	14%	14%	71%

The judgements were also more gradient than they were regarding the directional sentences. 14% judged (36) and (38) questionable and 7% (37).

Sentences with temporal PPs received similar judgements to the ones with locative PPs.

	14. Temporal PPs	Yes	?	No
39 [R32]	Pála reykti vindla [á unglingsárum hennar] <i>Pála smoked cigars on teenage.years her</i> 'Pála smoked cigars in her teens'	14%	29%	57%
40 [R16]	Karl keypti sér skyrtu [í fríinu hans] <i>Karl bought self shirt in vacation.DEF his</i> 'Karl bought a shirt for himself on his vacation.		0%	93%
41 [R34]	Stelpan slasaðist [á afmælisdaginn hennar] girl.DEF injured on birthday.DEF her 'The girl got hurt on her birthday'		24%	57%

These sentences also received a high rate of *questionable* judgements. (39) received 29% and (41) received 24%. Judging by these numbers, temporal PPs seem to be more able than other types of PP to form a binding domain for pronominals. However it is not clear why that should be so. In the pilot study there was little if any difference between directional, locative and temporal PPs.

Causative PPs, in contrast to the pilot study, received a very low acceptance rate.

15. Causative PPs Yes? No 42 Hansína vann Grím [vegna kænsku hennar] 14% 21% 64% [R60] Hansína beat Grímur because of cunning her 'Hansína beat Grímur because of her cunning'

15. Causative PPs Yes? No

43 **Stefán** missti allt [út af fíkninni **hans**] 0% 7% 93%

[R26] Stefán lost all out of addiction.DEF his

'Stefán lost everything because of his addiction'

44 **Hundurinn** vann verðlaun [út af feldinum **hans**] 7% 7% 86%

[R22] dog.DEF won prize out of fur.DEF his 'The dog won a prize because of his fur'

In this study, causative PPs were overwhelmingly rejected whereas in the pilot study the causative PPs received the highest rate of acceptance. At this point, it is not clear at this point what factors could have resulted in the difference between the studies.

As in the pilot study, the instrumental PPs were unanimously rejected³.

	16. Instrumental PPs	Yes	?	No
45 [R28]	Gummi skar lærið [með vasahnífnum hans] Gummi cut thigh.DEF with pocket.knife.DEF his	0%	7%	93%
	'Gummi cut the leg of lamb with his pocket kn	ife'		
46 [R40]	Sigrún keyrði pakkana út [á bílnum hennar] <i>Sigrún drove packages.DEF out on car.DEF her</i> 'Sigrún delivered the packages on her car	0%	7%	93%
47 [R44]	Stelpan spilaði mjög vel [á sögina hennar] girl.DEF played very well on saw.DEF her 'The girl played very well on her saw'	0%	14%	86%

Instrumental PPs seem, therefore, least able to function as a binding domain for pronominals.

The final type to be tested was, just as in the Faroe Islands, comitative PPs.

17. Comitative PPs	Yes	?	No
48 Dóri smíðaði kofa [með bróður hans] [R13] <i>Dóri built hut with brother his</i> 'Dóri built a hut with his brother'	7%	7%	86%

³ *Lærið* ('thigh') refers to a leg of lamb mentioned in the introductory sentence.

17. Comitative PPs Yes ? No 49 **Kári** eldaði súpu [ásamt mömmu **hans**l 14% 0% 86% [R49] Kári cooked soup along.with mother his 'Kári made soup along with his mother' 50 Stella breif bílinn 7% 21% 71% [R41] Stella washed car.DEF [ásamt kærastanum hennar] along.with boyfriend.DEF her

'Stella washed the car along with her boyfriend'

According to this data, comitative PPs are equally as unacceptable as a binding domain for pronominals as instrumental PP.

The results of this study indicate that differences in the suitability of different types of PPs for allowing pronominals to have local subject antecedents seems to be minimal. Some types of PPs however seem to be less suited to functioning as a binding domain for pronominals in Icelandic. Temporal and locative seem to be best able to form binding domains for pronominals. Comitative, causative and instrumental PPs are least suited to function as binding domains. It is unclear where directional PPs fit into this hierarchy, given that (33) received the highest acceptance rate of all the sentences tested but (34-35) were rejected.

Finally the effects of phonological weight were tested. As mentioned in section 2.2, the test sentences were in two groups of three. First were sentences with an intransitive verb and a complex preposition. These sentences have progressively heavier PPs containing pronominals.

18. Heavy PP W/intransitive verb Yes? No

51 **Helga** datt illa á rassinn 21% 29% 50%

[R24] Helga fell badly on bottom.DEF

[fyrir utan húsið **hennar**] for outside house.DEF her

'Helga fell badly onto her bottom outside her house'

52 **Siggi** flaug á hausinn [fyrir framan rauða 14% 7% 79%

[R11] Siggi flew on head.DEF for front red

bílinn **hans**] car.DEF his

'Siggi fell on his head in front of his red car'

18. Heavy PP W/intransitive verb Yes? No

53 **Ella** svaf [ofan í stóra fjólubláa 7% 0% 93%

[R56] Ella slept from.above in big purple

Kassanum **hennar**]

box.DEF her

'Ella slept in her big purple box'

Weight does not increase the acceptability of these sentences.

Second were sentences where the verbs were transitive and the prepositions simple. Again, these sentences have progressively heavier PPs containing locally bound pronominals.

19. Heavy PP w/transitive verb Yes? No

- 54 **Ljósmyndarinn** geymdi alltaf nokkrar 7% 14% 79%
- [R2] photographer.DEF stored always several aukafilmur [í töskunni hans] extra.films in bag.DEF his 'The photographer always kept a few extra rolls of film in his bag'
- 55 **Ásta** borðaði oft hrökkbrauð og ost 7% 36% 57%
- [R29] Ásta ate often crisp.bread and cheese
 [í löngu pásunum hennar]
 in long pauses.DEF her
 'Ásta often had crisp bread and cheese in her long breaks'
- 56 **Arnar** kastaði bolta [í elskulega gamla 7% 14% 79%
- [R17] Arnar threw ball in loving old hundinn hans]
 dog.DEF his

'Arnar threw a ball at his old loving dog'

It is interesting that the accepance rate of the sentences shown in tables 18 and 19 should be so similar, when the results from the Faroe Islands and from the pilot study indicate that weight is fairly large factor in facilitating principle B violations. It is not clear why the heavy PPs in tables 18 and 19 did not receive a higher acceptance rate than they did. It is possible that the results are merely coincidental. The participants in the Icelandic part of the study might simply not be as susceptible to factors that facilitate principle B violations for some speakers, unlike the participants in the pilot study.

4. Summary and discussion

As mentioned above, the transitivity of verbs does not seem to be a factor in facilitating principle B violations in the current study, indicating that perhaps the

higher acceptance rate of sentences with transitive verbs in the pilot study was merely a coincidence.

This study confirms that the type of PP is a factor in facilitating principle B violations. Of the types tested, instrumental PPs were mostly rejected as a binding domain for pronominals. When it came to the other types, Faroese and Icelandic seem to differ regarding the pecking order of PP types. The Icelandic results do not point to any particular difference in the remaining types of PPs functioning as binding domains for pronominals. Instrumental PPs aside, the remaining types all received a similar rate of acceptance. The Faroese results however indicate the following hierarchy:

(57) Locative > comitative > temporal, directional, causative

Which is quite different from the one indicated by the pilot study:

(58) Causative > directional, locative, temporal > comitative

The one thing all the studies agree on is that instrumental PPs are ill-suited to function as binding domains for pronominals. However, as mentioned above, there is variation in Faroese regarding the preferred order of genitive possessors and the possessed noun, thus some of the sentences judged ungrammatical or questionable may have been judged so because of the order of the pronominal and the noun rather than due to Principle B effects. This variation might be the reason for the apparent hierarchy in (28), while the difference between these types of PPs may actually be quite minimal when it comes to them forming binding domains for pronominals. The apparent hierarchies in (28-29) might then just have been coincidental. To sum up this discussion, instrumental PPs are not able to function as binding domains for pronominals. The other types tested seem to be able to function as binding domains for pronominals, but it is unclear whether they differ in their ability to do so.

The results from the Faroese part of the study show that phonological heaviness does facilitate principle B violations. However the Icelandic results do not show any particular increase in acceptance depending on the increased heaviness of the PP, something that is in stark contrast to the results in the pilot study (see appendix I). However, as mentioned above, it is possible that the participants in the Icelandic part of this study were simply less susceptible to the effects of heaviness on binding than the participants in the pilot study.

In conclusion, the transitivity of verbs do not seem to be a factor in pronominals' ability to take a local subject antecedent. The typology of the PP is a factor, where instrumental PPs do not allow pronominals to be bound by a local subject whereas the other types tested do allow that. However, it is unclear at this point to what degree the remaining types differ in that regard. Finally the phonological heaviness of PPs can facilitate these apparent principle B violations, to a certain degree, in Faroese but not Icelandic.

References

- Anderson, Stephen. 1986. The Typology og Anaphoric Dependencies: Icelandic (and other) Reflexives. In *Topics in Scandinavian Syntax*, eds. Lars Hellan and Kristi Koch Christensen, 66-88. Dordrecht: Reidel.
- Barnes, Michael P. 1986. Reflexivisation in Faroese: A preliminary survey. *Arkiv för nordisk filologi* 101:95-126.
- Biskup, Petr. 2009. The syntactic structure of PPs: Háskólinn í Leipzig.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1981. *Lectures on Government and Binding: The Pisa Lectures*. Dordrecht: Floris Publications.
- Chomsky, Noam. 1986. Knowledge of Language. New York: Praeger Publishers.
- Dikken, Marcel den. 2006. On the functional structure of locative and directional PPs. New York: CUNY Graduate Center.
- Hestvik, Arild. 1991. Subjectless Binding Domains. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 9:455-495.
- Hicks, Glyn. 2008. Why the binding theory doesn't apply at LF. Syntax 11:255-280.
- Koopman, Hilda. 2000. Prepositions, Postpostitions, Circumpositions and Particles: The structure of Dutch PPs: UCLA.
- Maling, Joan. 1986. Clause-Bounded Reflexives. Syntax and Semantics:277-287.
- Pesetsky, David. 1995. Zero Syntax: Experiencers and Cascades. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Sigurjónsdóttir, Sigríður. 1992. Binding in Icelandic: Evidence from Language Aquisition, Department of Applied Linguistics, University of California, Los Angeles.
- textasafn, Íslenskt. ed. Árni Magnússon Institute for Icelandic studies.
- Tungseth, Mai. 2005. PP, FP and the telic/atelic distinction in Norwegian motion constructions. In *Aspectual Inquiries*, eds. Paula Kempchinsky and Roumyana Slabakova, 147-168. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Zwart, Jan-Wouter. 2006. Baker's Generalization in a derivational theory of binding.
- Práinsson, Höskuldur. 1991. Long-Distance Reflexives and the Typology of NP's. In *Long-Distance Anaphora*, eds. Eric Reuland and Jan Koster. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Práinsson, Höskuldur. 2001. Syntactic Theory for Faroese and Faroese for Syntactic Theory. In *Moderne lingvistiske teorier og færøsk*, eds. Kurt Braunmüller and Jógvan i Lon Jacobsen. Oslo: Novus.
- Práinsson, Höskuldur, Petersen, Hjalmar P., Jacobsen, Jógvan i Lon, and Hansen, Zakaris Svabo. 2004. *Faroese: An Overview and Reference Grammar*. Tórshavn: Føroya Fróðskaparfelag.

Appendix I. Icelandic Pilot study.

Part I

This part was in the form of a written questionnaire. There were 19 participants in this part of the study. The numbers represent the number of participants that accepted the sentences as grammatical. Coreference is indicated by boldface.

	Anti-subject orientation	Yes	?	No
[14]	Apinn ýtti fuglinum af greininni hans <i>monkey.DEF pushed bird.DEF off branch.DEF</i> his 'The monkey pushed the bird off its branch'	39%	5%	58%
[73]	Vilborg skutlaði Árna heim til hans Vilborg harpooned Árni home to his 'Vilborg gave Árni a lift to his house'	47%	5%	47%
[4]	Rósa setti barnið í stólinn hennar <i>Rósa put baby.DEF in chair.DEF</i> 'Rósa put the baby in her chair'	26%	5%	68%
[29]	Hansína hrinti Stínu af hjólinu hennar Hansína pushed Stína off bike.DEF her	37%	0%	63%
Type o	of PP			
	Directional PPs	Yes	?	No
[5]!	Sigurður fór inn til hans Sigurður went in to his 'Sigurður went into his room'	16%	0%	79%
[15]	Ágústa fór inn til mannsins hennar Ágústa went in to man.DEF her 'Ágústa went into her husband's room'	10%	10%	79%
	Locative PPs	Yes	?	No
[49]	Siggi hrinti Valla í húsinu hans Siggi pushed Valli in house.DEF his 'Siggi pushed Valli in his house'	21%	5%	74%
[61]!	Gunna er heima hjá henni Gunna is home with her 'Gunna is at her house'	5%	5%	84%
[69]	Siggi hoppar á rúminu hans Siggi jumps on bed.DEF his 'Siggi jumps on his bed'	10%	10%	79%
	Temporal PPs	Yes	?	No
[7]!	Arngrimur reykti í fríi hans Arngrímur smoked in vacation his 'Arngrímur smoked during his vacation'	10%	0%	84%

	Temporal PPs	Yes	?	No
[39]	Gummi fór heim löngu á undan pabba hans <i>Gummi went home long on before father his</i> 'Gummi went home long before his father did'	16%	5%	79%
[55]	Skólastýran kom á eftir ritara hennar <i>Headmistress.DEF came on after secretary her</i> 'The headmistress arrived after her secretary'	5%	16%	79%
	Instrumental PPs	Yes	?	No
[22]	Lára saga þetta með vélsöginni hennar <i>Lára saws this with chainsaw.DEF her</i> 'Lára will saw it with her chainsaw'	0%	0%	100%
[47]	Henrý negldi þetta með hamrinum hans <i>Henrý nailed this with hammer.DEF his</i> 'Henrý nailed it with his hammer'	5%	10%	84%
[77]	Henrý kom á bílnum hans Henry came on car.DEF his 'Henry came by car'	5%	16%	79%
	Causative PPs	Yes	?	No
[27]	Heiða gerði vel sökum dugnaðar hennar Heiða did well because dilligence her 'Heiða did well because of her dilligence'	16%	21%	63%
[64]	Helga öskrar sökum óláns hennar Helga screams because bad.luck her 'Helga screams because of her bad luck'	37%	10%	53%
[75]	Maðurinn sigraði keppnina vegna kænsku hans <i>Man.DEF</i> won competition.DEF because cunning his 'The man won the competition because of his cunning'	16%	5%	79%
	Weight of PPs	Yes	?	Nei
[9]	Maðurinn óttast hundinn á hæðinni á móti ofanum hans <i>Man.DEF fears dog.DEF on hill.DEF on against hut.DEF his</i> 'The man fears the dog on the hill in front of his hut'	26%	10%	63%
[20]	Sigga grét í þriðju og seinustu opinberu heimsókn Sigga cried in third and last official visit hennar til Þórhafnar her to Tórshavn 'Sigga cried on her third and last official visit to Tórshavn'	32%	0%	68%
[31]	Haraldur braut illa báðar hnéskeljar í fríinu hans Haraldur broke badly both kneecaps in vacation.DEF his 'Haraldur broke both kneecaps badly in his vacation'	0%	5%	95%

Weight of PPs Yes? Nei

[66] **Stelpurnar** snjóaði inni í fimmtu og erfiðustu 79% 0% 21% *Girls.DEF snowed in in fifth and most.difficult* heimsókn **þeirra** til Súðavíkur *visit their to Súðavík*'The girls were snowed in on their fifth and most diffficult visit to Súðavík'

Part 2

This part was verbal. The test sentences were read aloud and the participants gave their judgements verbally. There were 6 participants. The numbers represent the number of responses in each cell. Coreference is indicated by boldface.

	Anti-subject orientation	Yes	?	No
[V4]	Gulli keyrði Rósu heim til hennar <i>Gulli drove Rósa home to her</i> 'Gulli drove Rósa home'	5	0	1
[V9]	Kennarinn spurði nemandann um einkunnina hans <i>Teacher.DEF asked student.DEF about grade.DEF his</i> 'The teacher asked the student about his grade'	6	0	0
[V14]	Gulli keyrði Rósu heim til hans Gulli drove Rósa home to his 'Gulli drove Rósa home'	2	2	2
[V8]	Rósa lagði barnið í sófann hennar <i>Rósa laid child.DEF in sofa.DEF her</i> 'Rósa laid the child in her sofa'	3	1	2
[V6]	Nemandinn spurði kennarann um einkunnina hans <i>Student.DEF asked teacher.DEF about grade.DEF his</i> 'The student asked the teacher about his grade'	2	0	4

Type of PPs:

	Comitative	Yes	?	No
[V1]	Stella kom ásamt foreldrum hennar Stella came along.with parents her 'Stella came along with her parents'	3	0	3
[V11]	Stella kom með foreldrum hennar Stella came with her parents 'Stella came with her parents'	0	1	5

<u>Heaviness:</u>

11041	Heavy PPs	Yes	?	No
[V10]	Gunna tognaði illa á báðum ökklum í fríinu hennar Gunna sprained badly on both ankles in vacation. DEF her 'Gunna sprained both ankles badly on her vacation'	1	0	5
[V18]	Gunna tognaði illa á báðum ökklum Gunna sprained badly on both ankles í fríinu hennar seinasta sumar in vacation.DEF her last summer 'Gunna sprained both ankles badly on her vacation last summer'	2 t	2	2
[V16]	Drottningunni var vel fagnað í fyrstu Queen.DEF was well cheered in first heimsókn hennar til Ísafjarðar visit her to Ísafjörður 'The Queen was well recieved during her first visit to Ísafjörður'	4	1	1
[V12]	Drottningunni var vel fagnað í fyrstu heimsókn hennar <i>Queen.DEF</i> was well cheered in first visit her 'The Queen was well recieved during her first visit'	4	1	1
[V17]	Forsetinn datt í það í fyrstu opinberu heimsókn hans <i>President.DEF fell in it in first official visit his</i> 'The President got drunk on his first official visit'	3	1	2
[V3]	Forsetinn datt í það í fyrstu opinberu President.DEF fell in it in first official heimsókn hans til Súðavíkur visit his to Súðavík 'The President got drunk on his first official visit'	5	0	1
[V7]	Forsetahjónunum var vel fagnað í heimsókn þeirra Presidential.couple.DEF was well cheered in visit their 'The presidential couple were well received during their visit'	4	1	1
[V15]	Forsetahjónunum var vel fagnað Presidential.couple.DEF was well cheered í fyrstu opinberu heimsókn þeirra in first official visit their 'The presidential couple were well received during their visit'	4	1	1

Appendix II - The Faroese questionnaire

Kanning

Luttakaranummar:	Dagur: _	
Staður:		

Set kross við hóskandi svar, sum verður víst niðanfyri:

ja = Natúrligur setningur. Soleiðis hevði eg væl kunnað sagt.

? = Ivasamur setningur. Soleiðis kundi eg neyvan sagt.

nei = Ónatúrligur ella ómøguligur setningur. Soleiðis kundi eg ikki sagt.

Sum víst omanfyri, skalt tú leggja dent á tað, ið tú heldur, at **tú kundi sagt**. Tú kanst skriva stutta viðmerking ella greiða frá í teiginum "Viðmerkingar", um tú heldur tað vera neyðugt (t.d. um tú heldur setningin vera natúrligan, men eitthvørt orð kemur fyri í honum, sum tú vanliga ikki nýtir í dagligari talu — ella um tú heldur, at setningurin líkist meiri skriftmáli enn talumáli).

Nr.	Setningur	Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
D1	Teldan riggar ikki.	X			
D2	Teldan ikki riggar.			X	

Eins og tú sært á dømunum omanfyri er ofta lítil munur á setningunum, tískil **eigur tú at lesa teir gjølla** fyri at kunna meta teir. Minst til, at henda kanning ikki er ein próvtøka, men snýr seg um, hvat tú heldur.

	Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
Kettan royndi at fanga fuglin tá ið han svav				
men fuglurin sá hana.				
Fuglurin fleyg úr reiðri hansara				
[hansara = fuglurin]				
Jógvan er sera troyttur.				
Eyguni í honum eru reyð				

		Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
3	Zakaris kom ikki einsamallur í gjár.				
	Zakaris kom við abba hansara				
	[hansara = Zakaris]				
4	Maðurin fór út at ganga við hundi sínum. Tá ið				
	hann fór heim rann hann.				
	Hundurin rann aftan á eigara hansara				
	[hansara = hundurin]				
5	Sjúrður segði at vinur hansara skuldi ongantíð				
	ferðast uttan hann.				
	Tó fór hann ikki við vini sínum til Íslands				
6	Gentan fór til Onglands og legði alt eftir.				
	Gentan fór frá øllum lodnu kettum hennara				
7	[hennara = gentan]				
7	Jógvan fór á vertshús í gjár.				
	Hann drakk tríggjar øl				
8	Drongurin varð harðligur við skóm hansara				
	[hansara = drongurin]				
9	Eg royndi vitja teg men eg kunni ikki.				
	Meg vantaði ein bil				
10	Flestir var ikki við góðan knív men allir høvdu				
	breyð.				
	Kvinnan skar breyðið við knívið hennara				
	[hennara = kvinnan]				
11	Kvinnan hjá Jógvani breyt nýggju telduna.				
	Jógvan rópti á kvinnu hansara				
	[hansara = Jógvan]				
12	Yvir seks milliónir fólk hava hugt eftir einum				
	filmbroti av einum hundi sum brádliga vaknar				
	úr svøvni og rennur inn í ein vegg.				
	Filmbrotið av hundinum hevur veruliga vakt				
10	ans millum fólk.				
13	Sjúrður misti knív á tasku sína.				
	Sjúrður fekk hol á nógvar av gomlu skjúrtum				
1 1	hansara [hansara = Sjúrður]				
14	Vestmannaoyggjarnar eru heimsins størsta lundaland man tav sainastu árini ar lundin nágy				
	lundaland men tey seinastu árini er lundin nógv minkaður.				
	Í Íslandi sigur Náttúrustovan at veiðan er ikki				
	burðardygg longur.				
<u> </u>	paromay 88 rongar.				

		Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
15	Gentan át ikki einsamøll í gjár.				
	Gentan át fisk við pápa hennara				
	[hennara = gentan]				
16	Jógvan arbeiðir fyri einum føroyskum				
	fólkaskúla sum setur dygdarundirvísing í				
	hásæti.				
	Hann vil byggja eitt gott skúlaumhvørvi har				
	børnini trívast.				
17	Maðurin koyrir ikki.				
	Maðurin ferðast á hestið hansara				
1.0	[hansara = maðurin]				
18	Jógvan hevur lagt fram uppskot um at stovna				
	miðlaráð.				
	Miðlaráðið hevur til endamáls at kunna og				
10	leiðbeina fólk um filmar og telduspøl. Eyguni hjá næmingunum vóru so ring hann				
19	næstan ikki sá longur men nú hevur han fingið				
	brillur.				
	Næmingurin kann lesa bókina við brillum				
	hansara [hansara = Næmingurin]				
20	Malan kom sera seint heim í gjár.				
	Malan kom heim aftaná maður hennara				
	[hennara = Malan]				
21	Sjúrður bleiv skaddur og Marjun koyrdi hann				
	heim av sjúkrahúsinum				
	Marjun leiddi Sjúrð til bil hennara				
	[hennara = Marjun]				
22	Tað manglar at mála húsið.				
	Tað vóna eg, at onkur fari at gjøra hetta				
23	Ongin hevði tíð til at eta í morgun.				
	Turið át tvíflís í bilið hennara				
	[hennara = Turið]				
24	Malan sígur ongantíð satt.				
	Hon varð samt altíð trúð				
25	Gentan vann hóast hon ikki var sterkast ella				
	skjótast.				
	Gentan vann vegna gløggsemi hennara				
	[hennara = gentan]				

		Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
26	Jógvan er dugnaligur handverkari ið ger gott				
	rør- og betongarbeiði.				
	Hann dugir at gera alt líka frá smáarbeiði í				
	sethúsum til stórt nýbyggjarí.				
27	Niklas hoppaði á nýggju trampolin hansara				
	[hansara = Niklas]				
28	Sólfríð er ein virkin kvinna sum altíð hevur				
	nógv jørn í eldinum.				
	Hon hevur verið politikari, lærari og				
	ambulansufólk.				
29	Maðurin vildi vera heima hjá sær sjálvum og				
	ikki fara aftur.				
	Maðurin keddi seg í teimum flestu longu				
	keðiligu arbeiðsferðum hansara til				
	Keypmannahavnar [hansara = maðurin]				
30	Fyri tveimum árum síðani fóru Malan og				
	Gunnar tíggju ferðir til Keypmannahavnar.				
	Hetta er nógv oftara enn tey vóru í fjør.				
31	Eivind stoppaði at roykja síðsta ár men hann				
	sníkir seg onkuntíð.				
	Eivind roykti cigar í feriu hansara				
	[hansara = Eivind]				
32	Ein drongur sat heima og hugdi eftir sjónvarpi				
	tá ið systkinabarn hansara vitjaði hann og vildi				
	hava stól hansara.				
	Drongurin varð koyrdur úr stóli hansara				
	[hansara = drongurin]				
33	Í føroyskum sagnum verða huldufólk ofta lýst				
	sum skapningar sum duga meira enn at mata				
	seg.				
	I dag verður ofta sagt at trøll og huldufólk				
	hvurvu við elektrisiteti og gøtuljósum.				
34	Marjun segði at vinur hennara skuldi ongantíð				
	ferðast uttan hana.				
	Tó, hon fór ikki við vini sínum til Íslands				
35	Læknin segði at Jógvan ikki skuldi ferðast so				
	mikið.				
	Jógvan viknaði í longu ferðum hansara				
2.5	[hansara = Jógvan]				
36	Marjun fekk sand í andlitið				
	Eyguni hjá henni eru reyð				

		Já	?	Nei	Viðmerking
37	Hjúnin skeldaðust tá ið tey fóru at sova.				
	Kvinnan koyrdi mannin úr song hennara				
	[hennara = kvinnan]				
	Hvølpanir vóru so lívligir og spældu nógv í				
	kurvini tá ið mamma teirra svav.				
	Tíkin varð skumpað úr kurv hennara				
	[hennara = tíkin]				
39	Turið hevði ikki sæð bróður sín í mong ár tá ið				
	hon vitjaði hann í gjár.				
	Gurið fegnast um ta hjartaligu móttøkuna				
	Gamla kvinnan hevur sæð mangt á øllum				
	ferðum hennara runt um í heiminum				
	[hennara = gamla kvinnan]				
41	Lærarin var sera keddur av at Jógvan ikki visti				
	svarið.				
	Hann las bókina ikki				
42	Maðurin toldi ikki tá ið tíkin svav uppi á				
	borðinum.				
	Maðurin skumpaði tíkina av borði hansara				
	[hansara = maðurin]				
43	Jógvan sigldi við báti fyri at hyggja at				
	Vestmannabjørgunum.				
	Hann sigldi inn í nøkur helli og fekk tikið				
	nógvar myndir.				
44	Sjúrður var so upptekin at hann ikki kom út at				
	eta				
	Sjúrður át á skrivstovu hansara				
	[hansara = Sjúrður]				
	Tað var í 2001 at Jógvan úr Klaksvík vann				
	allar róðrarnar uttan ein róður.				
	Hann vann eisini FM-heitið á ólavsøku.				

Appendix III - The Icelandic Questionnaire

Númer:		
Dagur:		
Fæðingarár:	-	
Nafn:		

Til þátttakenda:

Íslenskt mál er margbreytilegra en margir gera sér grein fyrir. Fólk talar öðruvísi eftir landshlutum og aldri o.s.frv. Oft eru fleiri en einn kostur sem kemur til greina í málnotkun. Til að mynda geta flestir sagt bæði *hún setti allt dótið niður* og *hún setti niður allt dótið*. Þó stundum komi aðeins einn kostur til greina.

Markmið þessarar könnunar er að skoða ýmis tilbrigði í setningargerð og hversu algeng þau eru. Þess vegna er mikilvægt að hafa í huga að EKKI er verið að athuga hvað þátttakendur telja vera **rétt/gott** eða **rangt/vont mál** eða hvað þeim hefur verið kennt, heldur hvað þeir **segja í daglegu tali** eða gætu sagt. Þar með er fyrst og fremst miðað við talmál. Ef þátttakanda þykir setning eiga heldur heima í ritmáli en í talmáli getur hann tekið það fram í athugasemdadálki í könnuninni en **dómarnir skulu miðast við talmál**.

Nöfn þátttakenda verða hvergi birt heldur eru þau einungis svo hægt væri hugsanlega að hafa samband við þátttakanda síðar ef þess gerist þörf.

Í spurningarlistanum eru gefnir þrír kostir: **Já** (svona gæti ég sagt), **?** (svona myndi ég varla segja) og **Nei** (svona gæti ég ekki sagt).

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
1	Við ættum að taka auka birðgðir með okkur.				
	Það er alltaf einhver sem gleymir að taka				
	eitthvað með sér.				
2	Sama hvað teknar voru margar myndir hann				
	hélt alltaf áfram.				
	Ljósmyndarinn geymdi alltaf nokkrar				
	aukafilmur í töskunni hans				
	[hann = ljósmyndarinn]				

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
3	Ég er búinn að hringja marg oft á				
	skrifstofuna og skilja eftir skilaboð en enginn				
	hringt til baka.				
	Ég held að ritarinn hjá þeim sé ekki með				
	öllum mjalla				
4	Ég skil ekki alveg.				
	Um hvað ertu eiginlega að tala um?				
5	Ég keypti þessa tölvu í fyrra.				
	Ég nota hana bara aldrei				
6	Þetta samfélag er á algjörri niðurleið.				
	Það var lamið litla strákinn í skólanum í gær				
7	Gunna fékk nóg af því að Tumi var alltaf að				
	taka stólinn hennar.				
	Gunna hrinti Tuma úr stólnum hennar				
	[hún = Gunna]				
8	Gvendur hefur talað um þessa Noregsferð				
	sína mánuðum saman, en hann er gjarn á að				
	hætta við á seinustu stundu.				
	Strákurinn heldur að Gvendur ekki fari til				
	Noregs á morgun eftir allt				
9	Þú trúir ekki hvað María sagði mér í gær!				
	María heldur að þú elskir sig				
10	Það heyrðust óp úr herbergi krakkanna.				
	Strákurinn fann mús í skúffunni hans				
	[hann = strákurinn]				
11	Siggi var að sópa snjónum af bílunum sínum				
	í morgun.				
	Siggi flaug á hausinn fyrir framan rauða				
	bílinn hans [hann = Siggi]				
12	Ertu viss um að við höfum ekki sést áður.				
	Voðalega kannast mig við þig				
13	Þeir eru alltaf eitthvað að bauka krakkarnir.				
	Dóri smíðaði kofa með bróður hans				
	[hann = Dóri]				
14	Taktu ekki mark á Hallgrími				
	Hann er bara að vera kjánalegur				
15	Þessar Twilight bækur virðast vera býsna				
	vinsælar.				
	Það hafa víst margir lesið þetta allt saman				

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
16	Jújú, Karl verslaði eitthvað smá smá.				
	Karl keypti sér skyrtu í fríinu hans				
	[hann = Karl]				
17	Systkynin Arnar og Klara hafa alltaf þótt				
	einstaklega illkvittin.				
	Arnar kastaði bolta í elskulega gamla				
	hundinn hans [hann = Arnar]				
18	Það var tiltektardagur á heimilinu og ýmsir				
	hlutir sem ekki höfðu sést lengi komu í ljós.				
	Ágúst fann blað undir rúminu hans				
	[hann = Ágúst]				
19	Ársskýrslan var talin vera áfellisdómur yfir				
	stjórninni.				
	Þess vegna var skammað forstjóran á				
20	aðalfundinum				
20	Hún Hrefna er svo mikið rassgat.				
21	Hún er alveg eins og pabbi sinn				
21	Það ná þessu ekki allir.				
	Ég veit að Siggi nær þessu samt				
22	Stína og Gummi eiga mörg gæludýr og eru				
	rosa dugleg að fara með þau á alls konar				
	<i>sýningar og keppnir.</i> Hundurinn vann verðlaun út af feldinum				
	hans [hann = hundurinn]				
23	Hann reynir og reynir en hann fellur samt.				
23	Hann er svo ekki að skilja þetta				
24	Það er alveg flughált úti þessa daganna.				
24	Helga datt illa á rassinn fyrir utan húsið				
	hennar [hún = Helga]				
25	Lára hafði rosalega gaman af söng en þorði				
	sjaldan að syngja innan um annað fólk.				
	Það var í sturtunni sem að hún hafði alltaf				
	sungið mest				
26	bað er sorglegt hvernig fór að lokum fyrir				
	þessu fólki.				
	Stefán missti allt út af fíkninni hans				
	[hann = Stefán]				

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
27	Fólk var svo samheldið í gamla daga og var				
	alltaf að gera eitthvað saman.				
	Það var til dæmis alltaf bakað kökur á				
	sunnudögum				
28	Krakkarnir fóru í bústað með lambalæri og				
	alls konar fínerí en í bústaðnum voru engir				
	hnífar.				
	Gummi skar lærið með vasahnífnum hans				
20	[hann = Gummi]				
29	Asta er bæði vanaföst og matgrönn.				
	Ásta borðaði oft hrökkbrauð og ost í löngu pásunum hennar [hún = Ásta]				
	pasunum neimai [num – Asta]				
30	Guðný er að leita að afmælisgjöf handa				
	Gunnari				
	Hún heldur að honum vanti annan síma				
31	Siggi frekja kom í heimsókn til Gumma og				
	tróð sér í fullann sófann.				
	Gumma var ýtt úr sófanum hans				
22	[hann = Gummi]				
32	Það reyktu nú ekki allir sígarettur í gamla daga.				
	Pála reykti vindla á unglingsárum hennar				
	[hún = Pála]				
33	Ég skil ekki alveg af hverju þið eruð að				
	kvarta.				
	Mér fannst ræðan hjá formanninum mjög				
	góð				
34	Þetta var voða mikil óhappavika seinasta				
	vika.				
	Stelpan slasaðist á afmælisdaginn hennar				
	[hún = stelpan]				
35	Þessi blankheit þín eru nú ekkert einsdæmi.				
	Peningar eru þurftir af mörgum				
36	Það var svo mikið að gera að enginn hafði				
	tíma til að setjast niður og borða. Stoing harðaði í hálnum hannar				
	Steina borðaði í bílnum hennar				
37	[hún = Steina] Var Steini eitthvað að tala við þig				
31	Hverju sagði hann þér frá?				
	privorju sagornami por ma:				

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
38	Dóra fékk alveg ógeð á bíldruslunni þegar				
	hún bilaði enn einu sinni.				
	Dóra henti steinum í bílinn hennar				
	[hún = Dóra]				
39	Sirrý varð vör við dularfullar mannaferðir				
	kringum húsið á móti og hringdi í				
	lögregluna.				
	Þjóf fann lögreglan í húsinu				
40	Póstbíllinn bilaði en þau voru bara hálfnuð				
	með útburðinn.				
	Sigrún keyrði út pakkana á bílnum hennar				
	[hún = Sigrún]				
$4\overline{1}$	Fjölskyldubíllinn var orðinn ógurlega				
	óhreinn eftir ferðalagið.				
	Stella þreif bílinn ásamt kærastanum hennar				
	[hún = Stella]				
42	Halli krækti í risastóran fisk í gær.				
	Veiðistöngin brotnaði hjá honum				
43	Elli hefur alltaf tekið strætó.				
	Hann kann ekki einu sinni að keyra				
44	Það var skemmtiatriði í veislunni.				
	Stelpan spilaði mjög vel á sögina hennar				
	[hún = stelpan]				
45	Gætirðu hugsanlega tekið vaktina mína				
	annað kvöld.				
	Okkur þorvaldi langar á þorrablót				
46	Þær vita miklu meira um þetta núna.				
	Í fyrra höfðu auðvitað stelpurnar aldrei lesið				
	þessa bók				
47	Lögreglan bankaði upp á til að leita að Kára				
	og Stínu.				
	Stelpan var falin í skápnum hennar				
	[hún = stelpan]				
48	Inga var komin hálfa leið heim þegar hún				
	áttaði sig á að hún hafði gleymt einhverju.				
	Peysan Ingu varð eftir á kaffihúsinu				
49	Þau hjálpast voðalega mikið við að reka				
	heimilið og skiptast á.				
	Kári eldaði súpu ásamt mömmu hans				
	[hann = Kári]				

		Já	?	Nei	Athugasemdir
50	Kalli hefur aldrei verið mikið jólabarn.				
	En Gunnari bróður hans hlakkar samt alltaf				
	mikið til jólanna				
51	Konan bað manninn um að geyma veskið	_			
	sitt.				
	Maðurinn setti veskið í töskuna hans				
	[hann = maðurinn]				
52	Stínu fannst yfirleitt svo gaman í skólanum				
	en núna er hún komin með leið á skólanum.				
	Henni leiddist meira að segja smíðatímarnir				
53	Undarleg hljóð heyrðust utan frá og Katrín				
	fór og leit út um gluggann.				
	Katrín sá ketti í garðinum hennar				
	[hún = Katrín]				
54	Það Þýðir ekkert að spyrja mig út í neitt				
	svoleiðis.				
	Eg sef alltaf fast og dreymi aldrei neitt				
55	Allir voru dauðþreyttir eftir langan				
	vinnudag.				
	Halli sofnaði í stólnum hans				
- -	[hann = Hansína]				
56	Margir voru mjög móðgaðir yfir þessum				
	veggspjöldum.				
	Þess vegna var alltaf verið að rífa þetta niður				
F.7	í skjóli nætur				
5/	Ella var búin að sturta úr öllum				
	dótakössunum sínum og lék sér ofan í þeim.				
	Ella svaf ofan í stóra fjólubláa kassanum hennar [hún = Ella]				
5 0					
38	Þú munt ekki trúa því hver kveikti í				
	fatahenginu. Dað var fullkomni namandinn í bassum bakk				
50	Það var fullkomni nemandinn í þessum bekk				
59	Við sátum og spjölluðum áður en við fórum í				
	bæinn. Svo var fangið sár öl og haft gaman				
60	Svo var fengið sér öl og haft gaman				
00	Það er ekki alltaf fljótasti eða sterkasti leikmaðurinn sem vinnur.				
	Hansína vann Grím vegna kænsku hennar [<mark>hún = Grímur</mark>]				
<u></u>	L <mark>iiuii – Oliiiui</mark>				